

The Architecture of Ephemeral Choice: A Ludonarrative Analysis and Post-Mortem of Telltale Games



telltale*games*

The Architecture of Ephemeral Choice: A Ludonarrative Analysis and Post-Mortem of Telltale Games	1
I. Prologue: The Designer’s Commitment to Interactive Storytelling	3
II. The LucasArts Exodus and the Foundational Necessity of Episodic Design (2004–2010)	5
2.1. From LucasArts to San Rafael: The Foundational Ambition	5
2.2. The Experimental Phase: Digital Distribution and the Telltale Tool	6
2.3. Establishing the IP Pipeline and the Mechanical Pivot	6
III. The Telltale Formula Defined: Emotional Consequence and Narrative Triumphs	8
3.1. The Walking Dead (2012): The Triumph of Emotional Consequence	8
3.2. Analyzing Ludonarrative Consonance and Conflict	8
3.3. Structural Benefits of the Episodic Pacing	9
3.4. Peak Consistency: The Wolf Among Us and Tales from the Borderlands	9
IV. The Ludonarrative Paradox: Illusion, Constraint, and Technical Debt	11
4.1. The Critical Analysis of the Illusion of Choice	11
4.2. The Technical Bottleneck: The Telltale Tool	12
4.3. Formula Fatigue and Creative Exhaustion	13
V. Collapse and Rebirth: The Business and Creative Post-Mortem (2017–Present)	14
5.1. Financial and Corporate Mismanagement	14
5.2. The Brand Acquisition and Continuity	14
VI. The Enduring Legacy: AdHoc Studio and the Evolution of Choice	15
6.1. The Narrative Core Survives: AdHoc Studio	15
6.2. Dispatch as a Ludonarrative Evolution (Telltale 2.0)	16
VII. Conclusion: The Blueprint of Success and Warning	16
VIII. Works Cited	17

I. Prologue: The Designer's Commitment to Interactive Storytelling



(Source: [VG247](#))

The history of Telltale Games represents a fundamental validation of the narrative-first video game experience. For narrative designers focused on player expression, TTG's remarkable ascent confirmed that a mainstream audience existed not just for games with stories, but for interactive stories where player agency, the ability to choose and witness consequence, was the central mechanical focus.

The studio successfully carved out a massive commercial space, proving that emotional investment and identity construction could be the primary drivers of player motivation.¹ This accomplishment fundamentally shifted industry expectations regarding the structure and pacing of long-form, character-driven titles.

The analysis of Telltale's corpus requires establishing precise terminology, particularly concerning the relationship between gameplay and plot. Ludonarrative Consonance occurs when the mechanics (the "ludic" elements) organically support the themes and events of the story (the "narrative" elements).² Telltale achieved powerful consonance in its peak titles by ensuring that its primary mechanic, the time-limited choice, directly mirrored the high-pressure stakes of the narrative. However, the studio's success rested equally on the skillful use of the **"Illusion of Choice."**

This technique is where the story maintains a generally linear trajectory despite the emotional intensity of player input.⁴ As structural convergence is often required in complex, long-form, episodic narratives⁵, Telltale's enduring challenge was to sustain emotional weight even when mechanical freedom was constrained.

Ultimately, Telltale Games excelled at prioritizing emotional consequence over plot deviation, establishing a globally successful formula. However, the firm's commitment to an archaic technical foundation and an unsustainable corporate growth strategy eventually rendered this successful formula structurally unsound, leading directly to the company's collapse.⁶

II. The LucasArts Exodus and the Foundational Necessity of Episodic Design (2004–2010)



(Source: [Sam & Max Steam Page](#))

2.1. From LucasArts to San Rafael: The Foundational Ambition

Telltale Games was founded in July 2004 in San Rafael, California, by three prominent former LucasArts developers: Kevin Bruner, Dan Connors, and Troy Molander.⁷ Their formation was a direct response to LucasArts' decision to abandon the adventure game genre, leaving a void that the founders were keen to fill.⁷ Their immediate objective was to develop a new game based on the *Sam & Max* franchise, demonstrating their foundational commitment to continuing the legacy of high-quality adventure game writing.⁷

The founders recognized that to continue the genre, they needed a modernized approach to both development and distribution. The company's initial goal was thus intrinsically linked to the novel concept of digital distribution and episodic release schedules.⁷ Until they could secure the rights to their dream IP, the studio focused on bringing in revenue through auxiliary projects.⁷ This necessity to

bootstrap the studio, coupled with the need for immediate, recurring revenue streams, became the defining factor for the structure of all future Telltale products.

2.2. The Experimental Phase: Digital Distribution and the Telltale Tool

The studio's first game, *Telltale Texas Hold'em*, released in February 2005, was designed with a precise, strategic purpose: to test the viability and benefits of digital distribution.⁹ This card game, which featured dialogue and character interaction as key components, provided the foundational structure for Telltale's proprietary engine, the Telltale Tool, which would be used for the next 13 years.⁷

Following this initial technical and distribution experiment, Telltale used the license around Jeff Smith's *Bone* comic book series to test the episodic format in a narrative context.⁷ Although the planned five-episode series was canceled after only two releases, this period established the studio's commitment to the serial structure.⁷

Success finally came with *Sam & Max Save the World* (2006), which was not only their first big mainstream hit but also one of the first truly successful episodic series to release an entire season on a tight, consistent monthly schedule through a partner like GameTap.⁷ The studio was positioning itself as the true successor to LucasArts, blending strong writing with traditional puzzle elements.⁸

2.3. Establishing the IP Pipeline and the Mechanical Pivot

The early catalog consisted of traditional, puzzle-centric point-and-click adventure games based on established, though often niche, IPs, including *Strong Bad's Cool Game for Attractive People*, *Wallace and Gromit's Grand Adventures*, and *Back to the Future*.⁷ The focus remained on challenging puzzles and clever writing, consistent with their LucasArts heritage.

The crucial mechanical pivot occurred with *Jurassic Park: The Game* in 2011.⁷

This title fundamentally broke away from the standard point-and-click adventure game format. Instead, it introduced elements that would become core to the future Telltale identity: Quick Time Events (QTEs) and time-limited choices.⁷ This transition indicated the company's understanding that mechanical friction—the frustration often associated with obtuse inventory puzzles—was a barrier to mainstream adoption.

The replacement of inventory puzzles with cinematic, high-pressure input signaled Telltale's gradual recognition that player investment could be maintained primarily through narrative and emotional response, rather than solely through intellectual challenge. The path was now clear for dialogue choice to assume the role of the single primary mechanic.

The decision to adopt the episodic format was fundamentally a business and structural constraint that

shaped all subsequent design choices. The initial reliance on the episodic model, driven by the need for financial stability and predictable digital distribution for a small studio, created an inherent requirement for narrative plot convergence.⁵

Long, continuous narrative segments developed and released over a period of months (sometimes years) required the plot to hit specific, stable markers to ensure a feasible starting point for the subsequent episode.¹² This structural compromise necessitated a degree of linearity, thereby pre-determining the core constraint—the necessity of the "illusion of choice"—before the formula became famous for its moral dilemmas.⁵

III. The Telltale Formula Defined: Emotional Consequence and Narrative Triumphs



(Source: [Fangamer](#))

3.1. *The Walking Dead* (2012): The Triumph of Emotional Consequence

The year 2012 marked Telltale's critical and commercial breakout with the release of *The Walking Dead*.⁷ Based on Robert Kirkman's comic series, this game fundamentally diverged from Telltale's previous point-and-click tradition, shifting focus entirely to narrative direction and emotional depth.¹ The gameplay was transformed: dialogue sequences composed the majority of the experience, supplemented by exploration and punctuated by QTEs for action sequences.¹

The narrative focused on Lee Everett, a convicted man, befriendng and protecting a young girl named Clementine.¹ The design successfully prioritized the aesthetics of **narrative** and **expression**.¹ Dialogue became the central mechanic, with players presented with two to four choices, or the option of silence, to shape the conversation.¹ These conversation choices were critical, as they dynamically shaped Lee's character and his evolving relationships with other group members, often influencing their trust and eventual allegiance.¹

3.2. Analyzing Ludonarrative Consonance and Conflict

The success of *The Walking Dead* lay in its masterful use of time-limited choices to achieve high

ludonarrative consonance. By subjecting the player to intense, time-sensitive moral dilemmas—such as who to save or what desperate action to take—the mechanics directly mirrored the narrative reality of a zombie apocalypse: survival demands immediate, instinctive, and often imperfect decision-making, leaving no time for careful deliberation.² This pressure successfully generated profound emotional investment, causing players to internalize their choices as extensions of their character's personality.¹⁴

The game's structural design successfully maximized emotional consequence while managing the content volume required by the episodic format. Although the plot progression was often linear, eventually leading all players to the same critical destinations, the emotional journey and the resulting relationships felt entirely personalized.⁷ The value proposition was not plot freedom, but the expressive ability to define Lee's character and moral standing in a dark world.¹⁵ This emphasis on relational dynamics, trust, and betrayal provided a natural incentive for the player to invest emotionally in the NPCs, knowing their skills or loyalties might prove useful later.¹³

3.3. Structural Benefits of the Episodic Pacing

The episodic release schedule, inherited from Telltale's early business model, transformed from a simple distribution strategy into a core dramatic element. By mirroring television storytelling structures, the game delivered self-contained, digestible chapters that built towards a larger seasonal arc.¹² This enforced pacing heightened suspense through calculated cliffhangers, ensuring players were highly engaged.¹²

The gap between episodes was crucial. It encouraged community discussion, turning the playing experience into a shared, communal event. Players would debate their decisions and the resulting outcomes online, sustaining interest and immersion far longer than a traditional single-release game.¹² Furthermore, this structure offered Telltale developers a degree of flexibility, allowing them to adjust minor characters or narrative elements in future episodes based on player reception, demonstrating the adaptive potential of the format.¹⁸

3.4. Peak Consistency: *The Wolf Among Us* and *Tales from the Borderlands*

Following *The Walking Dead*, Telltale demonstrated the versatility of its formula. *The Wolf Among Us* (2013), based on the *Fables* comics, proved the formula could thrive in a neo-noir detective environment defined by moral ambiguity.¹⁴ Choices primarily impacted Bigby Wolf's identity and perception—whether he was viewed as a violent brute or a diplomatic sheriff.¹⁵ This game masterfully leveraged high-quality writing and aesthetics to maintain emotional intensity, ensuring that even if the core mystery progression remained linear, the player's personal development of the protagonist felt authentic and consequential.¹⁴

Similarly, *Tales from the Borderlands* (2014) showcased the formula's ability to translate high-energy, comedic franchises. The game offered a solid script, humorous story, and memorable characters.¹⁹

Though critics noted that the unique, loot-driven FPS gameplay of the source material was entirely swapped out for the conversation-driven adventure format, the game succeeded by focusing on witty character dynamics and banter.²⁰

The common denominator in Telltale's greatest critical successes (*TWD S1*, *TWAU*, *TFTB*) was the application of a design rule: maximize player expression by focusing consequences *inward*. Choices primarily served to define *who* the protagonist was (e.g., Lee's morality or Bigby's level of control) and *how* their relationships were defined. This strong focus on character modeling allowed the writers to generate immense emotional consequence while operating within the confines of the necessary, convergent plot structure. This prevented the player's investment from being betrayed by the constraints of the episodic model.¹⁵

IV. The Ludonarrative Paradox: Illusion, Constraint, and Technical Debt



(Source: [Turning Page](#))

4.1. The Critical Analysis of the Illusion of Choice

As the Telltale formula became established, so did the common critique: the **illusion of choice**.⁵ Players recognized that seemingly impactful decisions about who survived or what key action was taken often led back to the same narrative checkpoints—a phenomenon necessary to maintain episodic continuity.¹³ The critique suggested that this linearity was a "fundamental betrayal of the player's investment," transforming player agency into a carefully crafted mirage.²¹

However, from a structural design perspective, this high-convergence model was not just a creative shortcut but an operational necessity.⁴ Episodic releases fundamentally restrict deep, exponential branching due to the sheer volume of content required for multiple divergent paths.⁵ Attempting full, unconstrained freedom risks creating a fragmented, poorly paced, or dreadful story for the majority of players, as narrative focus is diluted.²² Telltale's successful application of the illusion lay in ensuring that even if the end plot point was fixed, the emotional journey taken to reach it was personal.

The prime example often cited is the choice to save Carley or Doug in the first season of *The Walking Dead*.¹⁵ Regardless of the player's choice, the saved character is often killed in a subsequent scene, bringing the group back to the same state.²³

This is a clear demonstration of the design prioritizing the immediate, devastating emotional consequence and the subsequent relational shift over permanently altering the mechanical composition

of the party.¹⁵ The player invests in the grief and the memory of their choice, which is carried forward, even if the character's presence is not.

To better illustrate the functional difference between perceived player focus and actual plot constraint, a comparative analysis is necessary:

Game Title	Example Choice	Player Agency Focus	Actual Plot Constraint (Convergence)	Effectiveness of Illusion
<i>The Walking Dead</i>	Saving Carley vs. Doug (E1)	Survival of specific companions; relationship building	Character dies regardless shortly after (E3)	High: Player takes ownership of the temporary consequence and subsequent grief/loyalty. ¹⁵
<i>The Wolf Among Us</i>	Pursuing violence vs. diplomacy (Bigby)	Shaping the protagonist's moral identity/public perception	Core mystery progression remains linear across episodes	High: Focus successfully shifts from global plot outcome to personal character development. ¹⁴
<i>Game of Thrones</i>	Which house member to trust/betray	Major political shifts and familial preservation	Highly linear; plot outcomes often feel arbitrary or mandated by source material	Low: Convergence becomes transparent, leading to frustration and perceived irrelevance of decisions. ⁷

4.2. The Technical Bottleneck: The Telltale Tool

The single greatest limiting factor on Telltale's creative ambition was its archaic technological foundation: the proprietary Telltale Tool engine, which had been in continuous use since 2004/2005.⁷ While the engine received some graphical updates, its core architecture remained stagnant and insufficient for modern development demands.²³

The Telltale Tool lacked fundamental features found in contemporary engines, most notably a physics system.²⁷ This technical constraint had profound narrative consequences. If a scene required a simple action, such as a ball rolling across the floor or a book falling off a shelf, the action could not be simulated; it had to be manually animated, demanding enormous time and resource commitments from the development team.²⁷

This profound technical debt became a creative bottleneck, directly mandating the studio's reliance on high linearity and convergence. The engine was simply not set up to handle the deep, complex branching required to satisfy growing player expectations.²⁸ Furthermore, attempts by internal staff to propose tools and engine upgrades were reportedly rolled back or canceled by management and bureaucracy.²⁹

As the studio entered a period of rapid expansion and high-cost licensed projects, the technical limitations forced the creative team to rely on the simplest, most linear implementation of the formula (dialogue trees and QTEs) to reduce production risk and meet the demanding episodic schedule.⁷ This failure to invest in infrastructure meant that the narrative ambition was consistently restricted by the limitations of the tool, leading to creative exhaustion and formulaic stagnation.

4.3. Formula Fatigue and Creative Exhaustion

The success of *The Walking Dead* led Telltale to pursue a strategy of aggressive expansion, securing multiple high-profile licenses simultaneously, including *Minecraft*, *Game of Thrones*, *Guardians of the Galaxy*, and *Batman*.⁷ This expansion was characterized by management seeking risk aversion strategies, aiming to replicate the known success of *TWD* rather than taking new creative risks.⁷ The studio struggled to manage this volume, often leading to production delays between episodes and severe internal "crunch time".⁷

The consequences of this saturation were palpable in the final years. The perceived quality of Telltale's output progressively worsened.³¹ Titles such as *Game of Thrones* were criticized for an increasing lack of actual puzzle solving, relying almost entirely on QTEs and dialogue, and for making the irrelevance of player decisions transparent.²⁴

The games became shorter, more expensive, and technically glitchier, indicating the unsustainable pressure placed on the development pipeline.²⁴ Furthermore, the expense of securing and renewing multiple high-cost licenses further drained the company's bank account, while the need to adhere closely to established canon for these large IPs restricted creative freedom, making innovation difficult.⁷ The Telltale formula, once celebrated, suffered from critical fatigue due to its relentless application without mechanical or technical modernization.³⁰

V. Collapse and Rebirth: The Business and Creative Post-Mortem (2017–Present)



(Source: [CBR](#))

5.1. Financial and Corporate Mismanagement

The culmination of technical stagnation, unsustainable scale, and poor asset management led to Telltale's devastating collapse. The company endured management instability in its final years, cycling through CEOs Dan Connors, Kevin Bruner, and finally Pete Hawley, who was brought in specifically to address the studio's myriad problems.⁷

The internal culture was plagued by poor morale and intense crunch.⁷ Commentators noted that Telltale continued to expand, hiring staff at below-industry wages despite a lack of consistent success in their later catalog.⁶ The final, catastrophic closure in September 2018, when the studio filed for general assignment, was abrupt, leaving 270 employees jobless overnight.⁷

The overwhelming consensus among former employees and industry critics was that Telltale's demise was not a failure of the market for narrative games, but a failure of management: a systemic, corporate "suicide" resulting from destructive operational choices.⁶

5.2. The Brand Acquisition and Continuity

The Telltale Games brand was not permanently retired. In August 2019, LCG Entertainment acquired the

brand, along with the rights to much of the original Telltale intellectual property, including the framework, games, and certain game licenses.³² The new Telltale Games, headed by Jamie Ottilie and Brian Waddle, was established to continue specializing in narrative-driven games.³²

Crucially, the IP portfolio was fractured in the acquisition. The new Telltale retained rights to original IPs, *The Wolf Among Us*, and *Batman*.³² However, several major, high-profile licenses reverted to their owners; specifically, *The Walking Dead* returned to Skybound, and *Stranger Things* reverted to Netflix.³²

The new management has stated a commitment to avoiding the systemic mistakes made by the previous leadership, particularly regarding scale and market management, suggesting a focus on quality and sustainable development.³²

VI. The Enduring Legacy: AdHoc Studio and the Evolution of Choice



(Source: [Adventure Game Hotspot](#))

6.1. The Narrative Core Survives: AdHoc Studio

The specialized expertise in choice-driven narrative design, once concentrated at TTG, did not vanish with the studio's collapse. Instead, it was dispersed and reformed. AdHoc Studio was founded by veterans, including writers and directors responsible for some of Telltale's most critically beloved series, such as *Tales from the Borderlands* and *The Wolf Among Us*.³⁴

AdHoc Studio's mission is to continue innovating within the modern narrative adventure genre,

specializing in choice-driven experiences that demand deep player investment in character motivation and long-term consequences.³⁵ This studio represents the direct creative and institutional legacy of the Telltale era, seeking to improve the fundamental blueprint rather than simply replicate it.

6.2. *Dispatch* as a Ludonarrative Evolution (Telltale 2.0)

AdHoc Studio's first major title, *Dispatch*, is explicitly a creative descendant of the Telltale formula.³⁶ Described as a "superhero workplace comedy," the game places players in the role of Robert Robertson, a former superhero turned dispatcher managing a roster of ex-villains.³⁴ The core Telltale mechanics—dialogue trees and relational choices—remain foundational.³⁷

The critical mechanical innovation in *Dispatch* is the integration of a strategic layer, which addresses the primary ludic critique leveraged against late-period Telltale games: that they became "3D visual novels" with minimal interaction.²⁶ In *Dispatch*, players utilize a strategy map to review emergencies and must strategically decide which hero or heroes to deploy based on their stats, character traits, and cooldowns.³⁶

This strategy layer provides the compelling gameplay twist that Telltale titles were missing.³⁶ The game forces players to pay attention to the dialogue and character interactions to understand the personal dynamics and traits of their team members. This knowledge then directly informs the mechanical and tactical decisions made on the strategy map, determining who should work together and who should be kept apart.³⁶

Consequently, *Dispatch* achieves a deeper form of ludonarrative consonance. Player choices extend beyond mere conversation; they possess tangible, systemic utility that affects resource management, mission success, and the viability of the superhero team. This model proves that the choice-based episodic format can evolve by integrating robust, systems-driven gameplay loops into the narrative framework, validating the genre's continued appeal.³⁶

VII. Conclusion: The Blueprint of Success and Warning

Telltale Games' historical trajectory is a definitive study in the creation and eventual collapse of a narrative design paradigm. The studio perfected the art of generating immense emotional consequence and player expression within necessary, fixed narrative boundaries, proving the commercial viability of the narrative-driven, episodic game. Their foundational contribution was the establishment of the timed dialogue choice as a high-stakes, resonant mechanical substitute for traditional adventure puzzles.

However, the analysis demonstrates that the studio's eventual downfall was a systemic failure rooted in corporate and technical inertia. The refusal to update the archaic Telltale Tool engine, coupled with aggressive overexpansion fueled by expensive licensing, enforced a structural rigidity upon the creative teams. This rigidity led to formula fatigue, transparency of plot constraints, and the subsequent erosion

of critical goodwill and consumer trust.⁷ Telltale became a victim of its own success, unable to invest in the infrastructure required to support its own narrative ambition.

The legacy of Telltale Games persists through its spiritual successors, notably AdHoc Studio, who recognize that the core appeal of choice-based narrative is undiminished.³⁸ The mechanical evolution exemplified by *Dispatch*, by integrating systemic, non-dialogue gameplay (strategy and management) that is directly informed by character choices, is the crucial step forward. It ensures that player agency is rewarded not only emotionally but also mechanically, fostering a more robust form of ludonarrative harmony.

The Telltale blueprint remains a powerful model for storytelling, but the future of the genre requires consistent investment in technical foundation and an avoidance of the unsustainable pace and scale that ultimately led to the catastrophic failure of the original innovator. The lesson for all narrative designers is clear: even the most emotionally compelling narrative requires sound, modern engineering to sustain player consequence over time.

VIII. Works Cited

1. "The Walking Dead (Season One)" Analysis Telltale Games' The Walking Dead is an adventure game based off of the Robert Kir - Thomas Sparks, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://thomas-sparks-ch8w.squarespace.com/s/The-Walking-Dead-Season-One-Analysis.pdf>
2. Ludonarrative Dissonance; or, Why I Almost Never Do All of the Side Content in Games Anymore | by Logan Taylor | Medium, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://medium.com/@gatherer286/ludonarrative-dissonance-or-why-i-almost-never-do-all-of-the-side-content-in-games-anymore-b3e9778db8fc>
3. 'Life is Strange': A Ludonarrative Lighthouse in the Darkness - Epilogue Gaming, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://epiloguegaming.com/life-is-strange-a-ludonarrative-lighthouse-in-the-darkness/>
4. The Illusion Of Choice In Video Games | Video Game Design - YouTube, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=htfC0Qnyrro>
5. Telltale And The Illusion of Choice : r/Games - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Games/comments/94urik/telltale_and_the_illusion_of_choice/
6. This Dumb Industry: Telltale Autopsy - Twenty Sided - Shamus Young, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://www.shamusyoung.com/twentsidedtale/?p=44111>
7. Telltale Games - Wikipedia, accessed October 27, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Telltale_Games
8. Pros & cons of future telltale's games. - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/telltale/comments/1d2sqsu/pros_cons_of_future_telltales_games/
9. Telltale Texas Hold'em - Wikipedia, accessed October 27, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Telltale_Texas_Hold%27em
10. Episodic video game - Wikipedia, accessed October 27, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Episodic_video_game

11. Anything like that? :: Game of Thrones - A Telltale Games Series Discusiones generales, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://steamcommunity.com/app/330840/discussions/0/619574421585368961/?l=spanish>
12. A look at Episodic Gaming | TechRaptor, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://techraptor.net/gaming/opinion/look-episodic-gaming>
13. The Narrative Experience of Telltale's "The Walking Dead" | by Jerome Mabbayad | Rough Draft: Media, Creativity and Society | Medium, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://medium.com/rough-draft-media-story-and-society/the-narrative-experience-of-telltales-the-walking-dead-4e81be8af7fe>
14. Introduction to The Wolf Among Us - ExitLag, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://www.exitlag.com/blog/the-wolf-among-us-story-guide/>
15. Illusions, Interactivity, and 'The Wolf Among Us' - PopMatters, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://www.popmatters.com/179944-illusions-interactivity-and-the-wolf-among-us-2495677812.html>
16. Episodic structure | Storytelling for Film and Television Class Notes - Fiveable, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://fiveable.me/storytelling-for-film-and-television/unit-10/episodic-structure/study-guide/N8XvNLdMlfTWIunf>
17. Episodic storytelling | Critical TV Studies Class Notes - Fiveable, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://fiveable.me/critical-tv-studies/unit-5/episodic-storytelling/study-guide/u5r1HZkn3DozlaVp>
18. The Benefits of Episodic Gameplay | Gnome Stew, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://gnomestew.com/the-benefits-of-episodic-gameplay/>
19. Tales from the Borderlands: A Telltale Game Series – Review - GameFAQs - GameSpot, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://gamefaqs.gamespot.com/pc/133185-tales-from-the-borderlands-a-telltale-game-series/reviews/163519>
20. Review: Tales from the Borderlands - Relativistic Ramblings, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://www.relativisticramblings.com/gaming/tales-from-the-borderlands-review/>
21. The Illusion of Choice: Are Branching Narratives a Betrayal? - Wayline, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://www.wayline.io/blog/the-illusion-of-choice-branching-narratives-betrayal>
22. What are your thoughts on the criticism that choices don't matter in Telltale games? - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/TheWalkingDeadGame/comments/1iwk7dd/what_are_your_thoughts_on_the_criticism_that/
23. Do you think that Telltale games need a new engine for their games? - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Games/comments/3ghkqg/do_you_think_that_telltale_games_need_a_new/
24. Telltale's failure is richly deserved - Visions From The Dark Side, accessed October 27, 2025,
<https://deathmetalflorest.wordpress.com/2018/09/25/telltales-failure-is-richly-deserved/>

25. Game of Thrones - A Telltale Games Series Review, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://www.choicestgames.com/2017/11/game-of-thrones-telltale-games-series.html>
26. Telltale's Ancient Technology Is Now Badly Hurting Their Games - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Games/comments/66t0hi/telltales_ancient_technology_is_now_badly_hurting/
27. Telltale's Ancient Technology Is Now Badly Hurting Their Games - VICE, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://www.vice.com/en/article/telltales-ancient-technology-is-now-badly-hurting-their-games/>
28. It's time for Telltale Games to mature as a studio : r/truegaming - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/truegaming/comments/8cf00w/its_time_for_telltale_games_to_mature_as_a_studio/
29. Telltale reportedly finally ditching its old engine | Eurogamer : r/Games - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Games/comments/8tr72q/telltale_reportedly_finally_ditching_its_old/
30. The flaws of Telltale - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/telltale/comments/rzyhc5/the_flaws_of_telltale/
31. Why did Telltale fail? They killed the goose that laid the golden eggs. : r/Games - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Games/comments/9ir3xb/why_did_telltale_fail_they_killed_the_goose_that/
32. LCG Entertainment relaunches Telltale Games brand - GamesIndustry.biz, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://www.gamesindustry.biz/lcg-entertainment-relaunches-telltale-games-brand>
33. Telltale Games (2018–present) - Wikipedia, accessed October 27, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Telltale_Games_\(2018%E2%80%93present\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Telltale_Games_(2018%E2%80%93present))
34. PRESSKIT - AdHoc Studio, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://www.adhocla.com/presskit>
35. Analyzing 'Dispatch' Episodic Release(-ish) :: Dispatch General Discussions - Steam Community, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://steamcommunity.com/app/2592160/discussions/0/598541260271354525/>
36. Dispatch's Strategy Game Style Is Exactly What Telltale Was Missing - ComicBook.com, accessed October 27, 2025, <https://comicbook.com/gaming/feature/dispatchs-strategy-game-great/>
37. Dispatch (video game) - Wikipedia, accessed October 27, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dispatch_\(video_game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dispatch_(video_game))
38. I feel like choices don't matter much. : r/DispatchAdHoc - Reddit, accessed October 27, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/DispatchAdHoc/comments/1odow0l/i_feel_like_choices_dont_matter_much/